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INTRODUCTION

Cross-Situational Word Learning: Infants exploit co-occurrence statistics of words and objects across situations to learn the meaning of words [1].

Problem: Cross-situational information is not always reliable as inconsistencies in the word-referent co-occurrence (i.e., when the referent is absent in a scene or when distracting referents are present) inject noise into cross-situational information.

Solution: Syntactic bootstrapping. Infants exploit the syntactic regularities in sentences of language to guide solving the word-to-meaning mapping problem [2-3].

The dog blicked the girl The dog blicked

Research question: What syntactic regularities are available in early stages of language acquisition?

PRIOR WORK

Previous work on syntactic bootstrapping [4-8]

• studied the problem of joint acquisition in the context of ideal learners, ignoring the memory and computational limitations faced by a learner (i.e., an embodied robot).

• assumed prior access to syntactic concepts such as "subjectheadhood", lexical categories or more advanced syntactic knowledge (i.e., syntactic parses of the input sentences).

• simulation results from ideal learners and models assuming prior access to structured syntactic knowledge suggest that it is possible to jointly acquire word order and meanings and that learning is improved as each language capability bootstraps the other.

Our model is an extension of the Bayesian cross-situational model proposed in [9] and an improvement over the model proposed in [10] to handle variable-length utterances.

OBJECTIVES

• We propose that distinguishing the referential words (an object or event referent in the scene) of the utterance from the non-referential words is an initial step towards learning syntactic regularities.

• relative order of appearance of the thematic roles associated with referential words in sentences of language provides an initial notion of word order in the absence of any prior syntactic knowledge (i.e., "subjectheadhood").

• We first present a probabilistic framework for early syntactic bootstrapping in the absence of any syntactic knowledge (concepts of "subjectheadhood"; concepts of NP, VP, adverbs, determiners and other NP/VP modifiers), then we use our framework to study the utility of joint acquisition of word order and word referent and its onset.

PROBABLISTIC FRAMEWORK FOR EARLY SYNTACTIC BOOTSTRAPPING

Input Representation:

Assuming the learner knows three thematic roles:

\[ \theta = \{ \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \} \]

\[ P(\theta = \theta_1) = \text{multinomial}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \]

Models:

• M-WO: joint learner of word order and word referent

• M-B: baseline model - without \[ \theta \]

Word Order Representation:

\[ W = \{ \text{doggie, changes, the, cat} \} \]

\[ W_{ab} = W - W_a = \{ \text{the} \} \]

scene = E = \{ \{ \text{agent,DOG} \}, \{ \text{action,CHASE} \}, \{ \text{patient,Dog} \} \}

Word Order:

\[ P(\theta = \theta_1) = \text{multinomial}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \]

REVIEWS:

M-WO:

\[ P(L, \theta) \propto P(C(L, \theta))P(L)P(\theta) \]

\[ P(L) \propto \frac{\exp(-\|L\|_1)}{1 + \|L\|_1} \]

\[ P(C(L, \theta)) = \sum_{n \in \theta} \sum_{x \in \theta} \frac{1}{\|L\|_1} \]

\[ \pi_\theta \propto \sum_{n \in \theta} \sum_{x \in \theta} \frac{1}{\|L\|_1} \]

\[ \pi_\theta \propto \sum_{n \in \theta} \sum_{x \in \theta} \frac{1}{\|L\|_1} \]

EVALUATION DATA

• We evaluated M-WO and M-B in different ambiguous contexts using the datasets described in Table 1 (each dataset consists of 500 trials). These datasets differ from each other in the source and level of their ambiguity.

EVALUATION DATA

Table 1: Sources of ambiguity in evaluation data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Ambiguity Source</th>
<th>Ambiguity Level</th>
<th>Ambiguity Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1: No</td>
<td>Subjecthood</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2: No</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3: No</td>
<td>Adverbs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Example verb frames for "eat". Except for terminals, the rest of the variables are place-holders for a set of other terminals, variables or a combination of both.

\[ \pi_\theta \propto \sum_{n \in \theta} \sum_{x \in \theta} \frac{1}{\|L\|_1} \]

• We used a probabilistic generative process to randomly generate 500 utterances with 10 verbs: {fall, drops, pushes, pulls, takes, gives, eats, feeds, drinks, reads} and 20 objects.

• Our data-generation lexicon also includes five prepositions, ten adjectives and three determiners. Overall, we used 48 frames (with SVO word order), a subset of which is depicted in Table 2.

RESULTS

Incremental Acquisition of Word Order

Incremental Acquisition of Meaning

CONCLUSIONS

• Extend the framework to accommodate learning the structural rules of NPs (i.e., to learn that color modifiers cannot be followed by size modifiers but the opposite is likely in "the large red box").

• Computational experiments with different sets of thematic roles, varying the specificity versus generality of the roles, to shed light on whether human-like notions of thematic roles are required for word order acquisition.
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How does the usage of words in sentences of language guide word learning in early stages of language acquisition in the absence of prior syntactic knowledge?

a) Dog blicked

b) Dog blicked Sarah

c) Sarah blicked the dog
Early Syntactic Bootstrapping in an Incremental Memory-Limited Word Learner

• Previous work on syntactic bootstrapping (Yu 2006; Maurits, Perfors, and Navarro 2009; Alishahi and Fazly 2010; Alishahi and Chrupała 2012; Abend et al. 2017)
  • Studied the problem of joint acquisition in the context of ideal learners, ignoring the memory and computational limitations faced by a learner (e.g., an embodied robot).
  • Assumed prior access to syntactic concepts such as “subjecthood”, lexical categories or more advanced syntactic knowledge such as syntactic parses of the input sentences.

• Our contribution: A probabilistic framework for early syntactic bootstrapping
  • Bayesian model of cross-situational word learning
  • Limited memory of past observation (evidence)
  • Incremental learning algorithm
  • Relies on no prior syntactic knowledge
  • Jointly learns the word referent, speaker’s intentions, and language word order